

## Net Neutrality: Just the Facts

---

- Internet service providers support enforceable net neutrality rules such as no blocking, no throttling and greater transparency.
- Title II doesn't equal net neutrality. It's a set of utility-style regulations designed for the 1930's phone system that applies rules only on internet providers but no other internet company.
- The FCC's new common-sense open internet rules will help close the digital divide in rural areas and allow the U.S. to stay competitive globally by spurring new investment and innovation.
- Even if the FCC rolls back the Title II-based rules, we support Congressional action to end this long debate once and for all. Bipartisan legislation would ensure everyone can enjoy permanent net neutrality protections across the internet ecosystem.

### Get the Facts

*"All sides in this debate strongly support enforceable consumer protections that ensure no blocking and no throttling of your internet traffic..."*

**Fact Sheet:** [Myths and Facts About Net Neutrality](#)

**Blog post:** [5 Things That Were 'Modern Technology' When Rules Governing Your Internet Browsing Were Written](#)

### Title II Hurts Broadband Investment

*"Annual spending was \$2.4 billion less in 2016 than at the recent peak of \$78.4 billion in 2014. The start of the decline – the first since the recession ended in 2009 – coincided with FCC's 2015 decision to reclassify broadband providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act."*

**2016 Broadband Investment Report:** [Broadband Investment Continued Trending Down in 2016](#)

**Research brief:** [Utility Regulation and Broadband Network Investment: The EU and US Divide](#)

### Investing in Rural America

*"This debate shouldn't be about whether we should have net neutrality protections or network investments. We can have both."*

**Morning Consult:** [Net Neutrality and Broadband Investment for All](#)

**Infographic:** [For Rural America Broadband Builds Opportunity](#)

### Permanent Protections Needed

*"The FCC's rulemaking process is an important step toward shaping a modern net neutrality framework that doesn't shackle innovation to a pole erected in the era of black and white films. But ultimately the best place for that debate to be resolved on a permanent basis is through our elected representatives in Congress."*

**The Hill:** [Congress, not John Oliver's 'flash mobs,' must determine FCC policy](#)

**Morning Consult:** [Title II Can't Deliver an Open, Modern Internet for Consumers](#)

**Real Clear Policy:** [Let's Save the Internet - Permanently](#)